Rating Criteria for Sabbatical Proposals

Likelihood for Success

- Does the proposer seem adequately prepared to carry out the project?
- Is the proposed project clearly defined, appropriately focused, and likely to be doable during the sabbatical semester?
- Is the project/product slated for completion before the proposer must return to full duties?

Professional Development

- Is this project designed to enrich the proposer’s professional development in a significant way?

Outcomes

- Is the project likely to have a positive impact at Simpson and/or in the wider professional community?

Necessity of Leave

- Is this a project that clearly requires a sabbatical leave to complete (as opposed to a course development grant or a leave of absence)?
- Is this project clearly beyond the scope of normal expectations for course development, program assessment, and professional development?

Clear and Convincing Proposal

- Does the proposal make the importance, scope, and design of this project clear and readily understandable to specialist and non-specialist readers alike?
- Are the above points (likelihood for success, impact, necessity of leave) well argued?
- Does the proposal provide adequate detail to make the case, while avoiding unnecessary information?